

IMMACULATE CONSTELLATION

Immaculate Constellation: Whistleblowers Expose Pentagon's Hidden UAP Secrets

October 9, 2024

On October 8th, investigative journalist Michael Shellenberger broke a major story about a whistleblower who claims the U.S. Department of Defense (DOD) is hiding a secret program called “Immaculate Constellation.” According to the whistleblower, the program focuses on the recovery and reverse-engineering of alien technology. The whistleblower further alleges that the program has been intentionally concealed from Congress, raising serious questions about legality and oversight.

These accusations follow the testimony of former intelligence officer David Grusch in 2023, where he stated that the U.S. government had been recovering non-human spacecraft for years. Grusch’s testimony brought UAPs (Unidentified Aerial Phenomena) back into the spotlight, pushing Congress to demand more transparency. Now, with this new whistleblower revealing specific details about an alleged program, the stakes have risen.

The whistleblower’s claims suggest that the Pentagon has violated federal law by not disclosing the existence of this program to the necessary congressional committees, as required under the National Security Act of 1947. This law mandates that all Special Access Programs (SAPs), particularly those dealing with intelligence and national security, be shared with key members of Congress to ensure oversight and prevent abuses of executive power. If true, this means the DOD has been operating “Immaculate Constellation” outside of legal oversight for years.

In response to these allegations, Sean Kirkpatrick, former head of the Pentagon’s All-domain Anomaly Resolution Office (AARO), quickly denied the existence of “Immaculate Constellation.” He claimed that during his tenure, he had access to all relevant classified programs and found no evidence of the alleged project. However, whistleblowers argue that some programs are deliberately kept hidden even from officials like Kirkpatrick, emphasizing the “need-to-know” nature of highly classified USAPs (Unacknowledged Special Access Programs).

The increasing number of whistleblowers coming forward is adding credibility to these claims. Many of these individuals have held high-level security clearances and have worked directly with classified government programs. Their willingness to come forward, despite the personal and professional risks involved, suggests they believe that the U.S. government has been keeping vital information about UAPs hidden from both lawmakers and the public.

This has further fueled public distrust in the government’s handling of UAP-related matters. For decades, UFO sightings and reports of unidentified objects have been shrouded in secrecy, with the

government consistently issuing denials or vague explanations. Yet, as more whistleblowers step forward, it becomes harder to dismiss these allegations as mere conspiracy theories.

If the whistleblower's claims are accurate, the implications of "Immaculate Constellation" could be enormous. Alien technology, if recovered and studied, has the potential to revolutionize various fields, from propulsion systems to energy sources. The idea that the government might be holding back such advancements for its own purposes, without even informing Congress, raises serious ethical questions. Why would such technology be kept hidden, and who benefits from this secrecy?

Advertisement

While the Pentagon continues to deny the existence of such programs, the pressure to provide answers is mounting. The public and lawmakers alike are growing more impatient with vague denials. The credibility of the whistleblowers and the gravity of their claims demand a serious investigation into whether the U.S. government has been operating in secret, outside the bounds of legal oversight.

If "Immaculate Constellation" is real, it could hold groundbreaking implications for advanced technology hidden from the public and lawmakers alike. But as long as these claims remain unanswered or suppressed, the full extent of what the government knows about UAPs—and its possible misuse of that knowledge—will remain a mystery, deepening public distrust. The longer the truth is avoided, the harder it becomes to confront what may be deliberately concealed.

The whistleblower's revelations have brought the UAP debate to a critical point. The Pentagon's denials are no longer enough to quash the growing calls for transparency. Whether or not "Immaculate Constellation" is real, the American people and their representatives deserve clear and honest answers about what their government knows

US Government Publishes UAP (UFO) Report: Full Immaculate Constellation Text

[Nick Ross](#) November 14, 2024 [Blog](#), [NickRossTech](#)

US Representative, [Nancy Mace](#), has published a report by a Pentagon whistleblower which describes an off-the-books government program, called [Immaculate Constellation](#) which covers the different types of UAP (UFO) that the US Department of Defence (DoD) has (apparently) encountered. The detailed report was brought to congress by journalist [Michael Shellenberger](#). The report is in PDF format and so is difficult to read, so we've cleaned up the formatting and posted the full text below.

Whether you choose to believe any of it or not, the context of its appearance is that it represents a culmination of a long line of Congressional hearings which have heard from multiple, high-ranking sources regarding alien life forms and UAPs and the expense and lengths the DoD has gone to in order to avoid disclosing the details on the matter. For those not up to speed, the discussions have long moved on from, 'Do these things exist' to 'Where are they being kept?' 'Why isn't the government being told details?' and 'How much is this costing the public?'

Now that the report is in the public domain, it will be discussed further by US government officials at the next Joint Subcommittee Hearing in a few hours time. You can watch the live stream, below. It starts at 2am Friday 14 AEST. Everything (plus significant extra context) is being discussed on [this Reddit Megathread](#).

THE IMMACULATE CONSTELLATION REPORT

Introduction

This document is the result of a multi-year, internal investigation into the subjects of Unidentified Anomalous Phenomena (UAP), Technologies of Unknown Origin (TUO), and Non-Human Intelligence (NHI). This investigation was undertaken in response to urgent and credible threats to the public good and safety of the United States of America and provided to Congress through the UAP whistleblower mechanisms established by the FY23 National Defense Authorization Act and the FY23 National Intelligence Authorization Act. The data consulted during this investigation, and summarized in this report, originate from non-public data held within the Executive Branch of the United States Government (USG). The author obtained access to this information while pursuing their lawful duties as an employee of the Department of Defense. This public version of the author's report was reviewed and approved for public release by the Department of State, Bureau of Global Public Affairs.

The results of this investigation are deeply disturbing. Elements of the U.S. Executive Branch have conspired to prevent the U.S. Legislative Branch from exercising its lawful powers of governance with respect to the UAP, TUO, and NHI issues. The information provided by the author strongly suggests that the U.S. Executive Branch has been managing UAP/NHI issues without Congressional knowledge, oversight, or authorization for some time, quite possibly decades. This criminal conspiracy keeps the elected government of the United States and its citizens ignorant to profound discoveries and dire threats originating from the existence of UAP, NHI, and their technologies.

Unambiguous evidence demonstrating the reality of UAP, NHI, and TUO has been made available to Congress by multiple, independent UAP whistleblowers. This document is but one item of evidence brought to Congress; much more exists and hopefully will be made available. In this public version of the report, information is organized into seven categories of evidence, including: an Unacknowledged Special Access Program (USAP); Imagery Intelligence (IMINT); human intelligence (HUMINT); signals intelligence (SIGINT); USG bureaucratic records; USG historical records; and other sensitive sources. All information in this report is derived from access to non-public information.

Section 1: Unacknowledged Special Access Program IMMACULATE CONSTELLATION

IMMACULATE CONSTELLATION is an Unacknowledged Special Access Program (USAP) established following the public disclosure of the AATIP/AAWSAP programs by Luis Elizondo in 2017. Upon disclosure to Congress, it was determined that this USAP and its collateral information have not been lawfully reported to Congress.

IMMACULATE CONSTELLATION's primary mission is collecting imagery intelligence on Unidentified Aerial Phenomena (UAP) and ARV/RV (Reproduction Vehicles) utilizing tasked and untasked U.S. military-intelligence resources. As part of a network of SAPs linked to Non-Human Intelligence (NHI) and UAP technologies, IMMACULATE CONSTELLATION acts as a nexus for collecting, analyzing, and disseminating intelligence on the activities, capabilities, and locations of anomalous aerospace threats that originate from foreign or unidentified sources.

The intelligence within the IMMACULATE CONSTELLATION program primarily consists of high-quality Imagery Intelligence (IMINT) and collateral Measurement and Signatures Intelligence (MASINT) of UAPs and ARV/RVs within Earth's atmosphere. The collection platforms involved are a blend of tasked and untasked capabilities in Low Earth Orbit (LEO), the upper atmosphere, military and civilian aviation altitudes, and maritime environments.

IMMACULATE CONSTELLATION pays particular attention to anomalous aerospace platforms that have been developed through the study or acquisition of technologies of unknown origin by foreign nations or unknown entities. UAP and ARV/RV signatures and preferred operating areas are known to the program,

enabling a degree of strategic awareness and warning. Finally, IMMACULATE CONSTELLATION shows that UAP and ARV/RVs are operating around the globe, often in close proximity to sensitive foreign assets and locations.

The categorization of IMMACULATE CONSTELLATION as a “parent” USAP, with various “child” SAPs under its umbrella, indicates a high level of compartmentalization and secrecy. In effect, IMMACULATE CONSTELLATION serves as a central or “parent” USAP that consolidates observations of UAP and ARV activities detected by tasked and untasked collection platforms. Sophisticated internal information security controls are a major part of the IMMACULATE CONSTELLATION program, which enforces compartmentalization by detecting, quarantining, and transferring UAP and RV imagery collection incidents before they are circulated within the Military Intelligence Enterprise.

In conclusion, IMMACULATE CONSTELLATION shows that the USG is not only aware of UAPs and TUO but also foreign state efforts to replicate UAP and TUO capabilities. The data within IMMACULATE CONSTELLATION reveals the capacity of the U.S. Armed Services and Military Intelligence Community to detect, track, identify, and engage anomalous transmedium platforms. IMMACULATE CONSTELLATION also demonstrates the extant capability to detect, quarantine, and transfer UAP and RV collection incidents before they are observed and circulated within the Military Intelligence Enterprise, partially explaining why many otherwise cleared members of the military and IC are unaware of UAP activities. Finally, the existence of IMMACULATE CONSTELLATION provides verifiable evidence of the witting participation by elements of the U.S. Armed Services, Defense Civil Service, and the Intelligence Community in a global surveillance and reconnaissance mission tasked with monitoring UAPs and ARV/RVs.

Section 2: USG Imagery Intelligence

Related: [Best laptop in 2025](#)

Related: [Best Business Laptops for work & school](#)

Related: [Best Gaming Laptops](#)

Related: [Best Portable Laptop](#)

The USG maintains Imagery Intelligence (IMINT) databases accessible to personnel with appropriate security clearances and mission need. These databases are scattered across various organizations and services, leading to a fragmented ownership structure. This dispersion complicates the timely and comprehensive gathering of IMINT related to Unidentified Aerial Phenomena (UAPs). Control over access to these datasets primarily resides with the Military Intelligence Enterprise, the Combatant Commands, the Armed Services, and individual Program Managers.

The IMINT collected from datasets available to the DoD and reviewed for this report provides compelling evidence for UAP which defy prosaic explanations. There is a

large number of unique imagery sensors available to the U.S. military and intelligence community including: Infrared (IR)/Forward-Looking Infrared (FLIR), Full Motion Video (FMV), Thermal, and Still Photography. The multitude of wavelengths collected by these sensors have captured UAP characteristics that are difficult or impossible to observe with the human eye alone. Subtle atmospheric effects associated with UAPs are visible through the sensors employed by the U.S. military and intelligence agencies, enabling unique analytic techniques. The verifiable chain of custody for UAP IMINT collected by U.S. military assets ensures a high level of confidence in the accuracy and integrity of the data gathered.

CENTCOM Cuboid Formation of Metallic Orbs: On USG networks, there exists daytime-FMV and daytime-FLIR footage of a formation of ~12 metallic orbs skimming the ocean surface at high speed before dispersing in multiple directions. The rapid and agile maneuvering of the metallic orbs were incompatible with known aerospace vehicles and were between 3-6 meters in diameter. In the opening segment of this footage, the ~12 metallic orbs flew in a tight "cuboid" formation; the metallic orbs were in three vertical-square formations of ~4 orbs each, arranged in a three-pronged configuration, creating the illusion of a cube shape at distance. All the orbs were white-hot against the black-cold ocean in the FLIR footage, and each sphere created a faint atmospheric distortion both around itself and as a heat-shimmer "contrail." The metallic orbs moved in this cube formation over the ocean for some time before rapidly breaking formation as pairs. The sensor platform lost track of most of the metallic orbs as they ascended in altitude and accelerated in speed but maintained observation on a pair of metallic orbs continuing the original trajectory of the larger formation.

CENTCOM Fast Mover Observed Transiting Over Sensitive Facilities: On USG networks, there exists FLIR footage of a small-medium oval UAP flying at high speed and low to the surface. The footage begins as routine surveillance of a sensitive coastal facility, when the oval UAP rapidly comes into frame as it flies above coastal facilities. The oval UAP then makes a rapid turn towards the ocean where it is tracked by the collection platform. The oval UAP is tracked flying low over the open ocean at high speed before the track is lost.

INDOPACOM Intelligence Vessels Positioned to Collect on Reproduction Vehicle: On USG networks, there exists infrared footage and imagery of a grouping of vessels engaged in SIGINT and MASINT collection at night in a specific area of the Pacific Ocean. In this footage, which was in close proximity to the vessels, a large equilateral-triangle UAP suddenly appears directly over the ships. Three bright points are seen at each bottom corner of the UAP, which is observed to slowly rotate on its horizontal axis. This rotation partially reveals a horizontal bar of sweeping lights. Intelligence analysis associated with this event specifies that the equilateral-triangle is a Reproduction Vehicle (RV) and concludes that the vessels must have been aware of the RV's frequent use of those coordinates, due to foreign pre-positioning of advanced collection assets at the exact time and place. After a brief period of hovering and slowly rotating approximately 500-1000 meters above the ocean, the RV suddenly disappears, and the footage ends.

INDOPACOM Equilateral Triangle UAP Tails Unwitting Vessel: On USG networks, there exists infrared footage of an equilateral-triangle UAP, approximately fighter-jet sized, hovering less than 200 meters above a vessel, in the night at a location in the Pacific. Intelligence analysis associated with this event specifies that the equilateral-triangle is an ARV/RV of unknown origin. The vessel gives no signs that it is aware of the ARV/RV maintaining altitude and bearing directly overhead. Two lights on the underside of the ARV/RV are visible, while a third is blocked from view due to a slightly upward angle of orientation.

INDOPACOM Large Disc Using Clouds as Concealment: On USG networks, there exists OPIR footage of a large saucer-shaped UAP emerging from within a dense cloud formation. The saucer registered black-hot against white-cold, with atmospheric disturbances caused by the saucer-shaped UAP visible. The saucer was between 200-400 meters in circumference and displayed symmetrical concavities on the upper surface. The saucer-shaped UAP emerges at a shallow angle traveling upwards towards the outer atmosphere. After breaking above the cloud cover, the saucer-shaped UAP suddenly reverses its direction, descending partially back into the cloud cover, then accelerating rapidly out of frame and partially obscured by the cloud tops. This behavior was evasive in nature and implied that the saucer-shaped UAP had become aware that it was under observation by a space-based collection platform.

INDOPACOM Boomerang UAP Observed by Pilot and Sensor Suite: On USG networks, there exists FLIR footage of a swept-boomerang UAP maneuvering at an uncertain altitude at a location off the eastern coast of a country. The UAP is tracked against clouds by the sensor suite of the operating craft. The UAP is observed rapidly decelerating to a stationary hover, followed by the sudden emission of a sphere of light from the junction of the two "wings" which expands to partially engulf the craft in a rotating sphere of light, at which point the available footage ends.

NORTHCOM Jellyfish UAP Crosses US-Mexico Border: On USG networks, there exists FLIR footage of an irregularly shaped UAP flying across the southern border. The UAP appeared in FLIR to be "mottled" irregularly with hot/cold emissions and approximated a jellyfish or floating "brain" with hanging appendages in appearance. The UAP flew against the wind with no visible means of propulsion, maintained an unnatural "rigidity" in its movements and flight path, and maintained a comparatively low altitude to geographic features. In appearance and behavior, footage of this UAP violating the airspace of the southern border resembled the same class of UAPs observed near DoD facilities in Iraq and Afghanistan. There exists at least one compilation video of this class of UAP, sourced from DoD force protection assets and Theater ISR, which uses this footage as a point of comparison.

NORTHCOM Supersonic "Range Foulter" Intercepts USN Fighter: On USG networks, there exists FLIR footage and radar data of a supersonic UAP of uncertain characteristics conducting a head-on intercept of a USN fighter operating off the Eastern Seaboard. The UAP is observed emerging from a cloudbank at high speed and approaching the USN aircraft head-on, rapidly passing over the left side of the cockpit. Radar data from this approach tracks the UAP on its approach towards the craft, providing information on speed, heading, and elevation.

SOUTHCOM Tic-Tac Detected by Space Asset in Proximity of Vessel: On USG networks, there exists OPIR imagery and MASINT of a Tic-Tac UAP transiting through an area of the Atlantic Ocean. The Tic-Tac maneuvers at an altitude at or near local cloud cover, and correlated electromagnetic signatures were collected by MASINT. In the available footage, the Tic-Tac UAP transits rapidly through the field of regard as it transits the ocean. No observed reaction is seen to come from the vessel, but its proximity in time and space to the trajectory of this UAP suggests foreknowledge of the UAP event by intelligence

Section 3: Defense Human Intelligence Reporting

The USG maintains a database of intelligence collected by military personnel across a plethora of topics, including UAP. These individual reports are considered "raw" because they have not undergone evaluation, corroboration, or contextualization into a finished analytical product by Military Intelligence Community analysts. A significant volume of reports documenting first-hand encounters with Unidentified Aerial Phenomena (UAPs) or Unidentified Flying Objects (UFOs) by DoD personnel exists within defense HUMINT databases. Many of these UAP reports are filed by military aviators and pilots, as well as service members trained in foreign military intelligence collection activities, and often include photographic evidence and sensor data. When aggregated, these reports reveal significant information on UAP.

This section is directly informed by reviewing over 400 defense HUMINT reports on encounters with UAPs/UFOs by USG personnel spanning from 1991 to 2022. It must be noted that this dataset is not exhaustive; other HUMINT data sources exist which are omitted from this analysis. The defense HUMINT dataset summarized here highlights notable trends in the reporting stream and are presented here along with specific examples.

Section 3: Defense Human Intelligence Reporting

The USG maintains a database of intelligence collected by military personnel across a plethora of topics, including UAP. These individual reports are considered "raw" because they have not undergone evaluation, corroboration, or contextualization into a finished analytical product by Military Intelligence Community analysts. A significant volume of reports documenting first hand encounters with Unidentified Aerial Phenomena (UAPs) or Unidentified Flying Objects (UFOs) by DoD personnel exists within defense HUMINT databases. Many of these UAP reports are filed by military aviators and pilots, as well as service members trained in foreign military intelligence collection activities, and often include photographic evidence and sensor data. When aggregated, these reports reveal significant information on UAP.

This section is directly informed by reviewing over 400 defense HUMINT reports on encounters with UAPs/UFOs by USG personnel spanning from 1991 to 2022. It must be noted that this dataset is not exhaustive – other HUMINT data sources exist which are omitted from this analysis. The defense HUMINT dataset summarized

here highlights notable trends in the reporting stream and are presented here along with specific examples.

Common UAP/UFO Shapes Observed in Defense HUMINT Dataset

From 1991 to 2022, the most common UAP shapes reported in this USG dataset were spheres/orbs, discs/saucers ovals/tic-tacs, triangles, boomerang/arrowhead, and irregular/organic. The Triangles/Boomerangs/Arrowhead shapes were by far the rarest and spheres the most common. Variations of morphology within these categories are present i.e. smooth spheres and spheres with protuberances; saucers without domes and saucers with domes; smooth ovals and ovals with surface structures; jellyfish or floating "brains" with appendages; and elongated triangles as well as equilateral triangles.

- Sphere or Orb: Spheres were the most reported shape, with variations ranging from smooth featureless orbs to spheres with visible openings or appendages. These objects' prevalence suggests a possible standard in UAP design or function, capable of high maneuverability and speed. The diversity within the sphere category, spanning from simple, polished appearances to more complex structures with appendages, suggests a broad range of purposes or technologies unique to the platform's mission and/or the originator.
- Disc or Saucer: Saucer-shaped UAPs, reported with and without domes, were the second-most common shape reported. Flat saucers were reported as well, these being disc like objects without pronounced domes. Traditional bi-convex UAPs are also reported in the data.
- Oval or Tic-Tac: Oval-shaped UAPs were infrequently reported within the dataset, and only after approximately 2003; these UAPs either maintained a uniform 'egg-like' symmetry, occasionally tapered into a teardrop shape. Cylinders with convex ends (Tic Tacs) fall into this category, and occasionally featured visible protuberances on the 'top' or 'bottom' of the otherwise uniform platform.
- Triangle: Triangles were among the rarest UAPs reported in the data. Most of these sightings were equilateral triangles, occasionally with vertical 'stabilizers' visible on the 'top' of the UAP. Elongated (isosceles) types were also reported, occasionally with the same vertical stabilizers observed on the equilateral type.
- Boomerang or Arrowhead: Boomerangs and 'Arrowhead' shaped UAPs were also among the rarest reported. The boomerang UAPs exhibited bilateral symmetry, with sweeping wings and a high aspect ratio. Arrowhead UAPs also showed bilateral symmetry, but possessed tapered wings and a low aspect ratio, typically with highly swept leading edges.
- Irregular or Organic: Irregularly shaped UAPs, those that defy simple geometric description, were among the rarest reported sightings. Shape-shifting UAPs also

appear in the dataset, typically having a spherical or disc-like “base” form from which irregular geometries are seen to project. “Floating brain” or “jellyfish” UAPs are present as well, characterized by a central mass from which multiple “arms” or spars hang downward. Finally, combined shapes were also observed, including cubes within spheres, ringed saucers, and various rectangular and cuboid forms.

Common Observable Behaviors and Characteristics of UAP/UFO Shapes Observed in Defense HUMINT Dataset

Table 1: Sphere/Orb

Observable	Details
Size	Sizes range from small objects to those several meters in diameter.
Speed	Capable of stationary hovering to rapid acceleration; speeds surpassing conventional aircraft have been noted.
Signatures	Often lack conventional signatures such as heat trails; electromagnetic effects on military electronics reported.
Colors	Varied, with grey/metallic, greyish-blue, white/white-yellow, and red/orange-red being prevalent.
Surface Details	Ranges from completely smooth and reflective to having visible protuberances, appendages, concavities, and openings.
Behaviors	High maneuverability, including abrupt direction changes and the ability to hover. Often observed to fly in complex geometrical formations and to operate in tandem.
Atmospheric Phenomena	Occasional disturbances in cloud formations and ‘heatwave’ contrails have been reported.
Biological Effects	Close observers reported feelings of unease, and of electronic device malfunctions.

Table 2: Disc/Saucer

Observable	Details
Size	Generally, range from a few meters to over 20 meters in diameter.
Speed	Exhibits capabilities for both hovering and high-speed flight, often making sudden sprints.
Signatures	Radar detection varies, visual sightings more common. Some reports include a humming sound.

Colors	Metallic silver or gray is common, though some have been reported as emitting light or changing color.
Surface Details	Variations include smooth, featureless surfaces to those with visible domes or segmented panels.
Behaviors	Sudden vertical ascents, hovering, and silent operation. Some reports indicate the ability to become invisible or blend into the sky.
Unique Emissions	Instances of electromagnetic interference in nearby devices and vehicles.
Atmospheric Phenomena	Visual disturbances, such as shimmering air or sudden fog formation.

Table 3: Oval/Tic-Tac

Observable	Details
Size	Lengths typically from 6 to 40 meters, maintaining proportional width and height.
Speed	High-speed travel and instant acceleration have been frequently reported, with no sonic boom.
Signatures	Visual identification often notes a lack of contrail.
Colors	White or silver.
Surface Details	Uniformly smooth surface, with occasional reports of protuberances that could be sensors, propulsion elements, weapons, or unknown technology.
Behaviors	Observed ability to hover and then accelerate suddenly. Some encounters describe them maintaining stable positions despite high winds.

Table 4: Triangular

Observable	Details
Size	Medium to large sized, ranging from F-16 to football-field size.
Speed	Capable of slow, precise movement as well as rapid acceleration to speeds beyond conventional aircraft.
Signatures	Often reported to emit a soft, humming sound; may affect electronic devices within a certain proximity.
Colors	Black or dark gray, sometimes with white lights at the comers and a central red light; reports of “twinkling” stars across surface.

Surface Details	Some have been described with visible surface textures, like panels or ridges, and occasionally stabilizers.
Behaviors	Hovering is common, as is silent flight. The ability to suddenly vanish or accelerate rapidly is frequently reported.
Unique Emissions	Triangular UAPs often emit focused beams of light. Toroidal light patterns are sometimes observed to emanate from a central point, leading to rapid acceleration or disappearance.
Biological Effects	Long-term psychological effects, such as anxiety or insomnia, have been noted, alongside the feeling of ‘being watched’ or shared awareness with the triangle UAP.

Table 5: Boomerang/Arrowhead

Observable	Details
Size	Wingspans can exceed 30 meters, especially for boomerang-shaped UAPs. Arrowheads typically range from F-16 size to slightly larger.
Speed	Exhibits both gliding at low speeds and the capacity for high-speed flight.
Signatures	Visual sightings often note a slow, silent flight profile.
Colors	Typically dark, blending with the night sky, though some reports include glowing edges or tips.
Surface Details	Smooth surfaces with a notable aerodynamic design; the alTowhead types show more angular features.
Behaviors	Known for silent operation and the ability to execute tight turns.
Unique Emissions	Seen to emit a spherical ‘ball’ of light from the centerline, which partially envelops the UAP during maneuvers, causing electromagnetic interference with local technologies.

Table 6: Irregular/Organic

Observable	Details
Size	Highly variable, from small, compact forms to larger, more sprawling structures.
Speed	Movements range from slow drifting to rapid acceleration, often unpredictable.
Signatures	Some reports include unusual sounds or the absence of expected aerodynamic noise; electromagnetic effects are common.

Colors	Often described with bioluminescent qualities or shifting colors, particularly for “floating-brain” or jellyfish types.
Surface Details	Descriptions vary widely, from smooth, fluid-like surfaces to complex, articulated structures:
Behaviors	Demonstrates a wide range of behaviors, from controlled maneuvering to erratic patterns of movement. Shape-shifting abilities have been reported.
Biological Effects	Observations include physical sensations of warmth or cold, unexplained smells (e.g., ozone), and psychological distress.
Unique Emissions	The floating-brain/jellyfish UAPs occasionally exhibit ‘patterned’ luminescence or fluctuating lights/colors (typically only visible in the higher-end of the electromagnetic spectrum).
Atmospheric Phenomena	Sudden temperature drops, and the appearance of clouds have been reported.

Examples of Defense HUMINT Reports on UAP:

Close Encounter by CVN Flight Deck Personnel: While on active duty in the Pacific, flight deck personnel working night duty experienced a close encounter with a spherical UAP of medium-large size. On-duty flight deck crew observed a small orange-red sphere maneuvering at high altitude above the CVN. Shortly after first observation, the UAP rapidly descended from high altitude to a position directly above the flight deck of the CVN (height approximately 100- 200 yards). The UAP maintained altitude and matched speed with the underway CVN for an uncertain period; observing personnel reported altered perceptions of time during the close encounter. The UAPs appeared to emit a soft orange-red light which, bizarrely, did not illuminate the ocean or the flight deck of the CVN despite the visual appearance of intense luminosity. The surface of the UAP was observed to be dynamic, ‘roiling like the surface of the sun’. The UAP took no reported actions during the encounter, only maintaining a close-in proximity to the CVN. After an uncertain period, the UAP suddenly shot into the air, disappearing at a point high above the CVN. Observing personnel felt as if they ‘snapped out of a trance’ and sense of profound unease. The incident report concludes with noting that the CVN had not responded in any way to what was perceived as a hostile interception by the UAP.

Metallic Orbs Intercept F-22 on CONUS Air Surveillance and Control Mission: While performing a routine Airspace Surveillance and Control Mission in the Eastern Air Defense Sector, an F-22 fighter observed multiple UAP contacts at mission-altitude. Moving to intercept, the F-22 pilot noted multiple metallic orbs – slightly smaller than a sedan – hovering in place. Upon vectoring towards the UAPs, a smaller formation of the metallic orbs accelerated at rapid speed towards the F-22, which was unable to establish radar locks on the presumed-hostile UAPs. The F-22 broke trajectory and attempted to evade but was intercepted and boxed in by approximately 3-6 UAPs. One UAP maneuvered in proximity (>12 meters) to the area

directly starboard of the cockpit; there the UAP established a rigid spatial relationship with the F-22, maintaining its exact position and orientation parallel with the F-22's cockpit despite multiple evasive rolls and maneuvers. Surrounded by the presumed-hostile UAL's, the F-22 was forced out of the mission area under the escort of the UAP formation.

Perimeter of Sensitive Facility Breached by UAP: At a military airfield located on the Eastern Seaboard, ground personnel reported a lengthy, low-altitude intrusion late at night by a small, spherical UAP. The UAP displayed visual signature management, appearing as a blurry sphere around which light was distorted or 'bent'; this effect emanated outward from the central sphere, giving the appearance of a heat-haze or misty volume of distorted light. Ground personnel reported eyestrain, headaches, and a feeling of unease or dread while observing this 'dark sphere'. The UAP was first observed while slowly crossing the runway, moving in the direction of a sensitive area. Once across, the UAP hovered above a grouping of ground equipment, before floating further towards a building within the sensitive area. The UAP then floated the building before suddenly disappearing. Ground personnel were uncertain of the length of the encounter, but estimated their observation lasted between 10 to 15 minutes.

Section 4: DoD Bureaucratic Records

Discrepancies found throughout the internal records of AARO and DoD interactions with Congress cast serious doubts on the integrity of the DoD's statements to the elected leaders of the United States Government. Extant transcripts held by DoD leadership show a pattern of trivialization, obfuscation, and outright denial of UAP data in what were intended to be highly classified, private, and transparent conversations with appropriate Congressional members. This same behavior also prevents critical members of Congress from receiving an accurate assessment of the national security risks posed by UAPs.

Specific examples known to the author include: denying the existence of multiple Compartmented Access Programs (CAPs) owned by a Military Intelligence Agency which directly related to the UAP mission; denying the existence of joint DoD/inter-department Special Access Programs (SAPs) directly related to the UAP mission and the study of TUO; and finally, the denial of the existence of IMMACULATE CONSTELLATION by DoD representatives to appropriate Congressional members and their staff.

Separately, additional internal records confirm the claims of Mr. Lue Elizondo regarding AATIP/AAWSAP's mission, activities, and findings. Additional documents support the exceptional character and credibility of Mr. Elizondo. These non-public documents include letters of commendation, service award nominations, performance/promotion reviews, archived email chains, and AATIP/AAWSAP program briefings which directly corroborate the public statements of Mr. Elizondo. These records also provide additional insight into the activities of AATIP/AAWSAP, the evolution of the program's findings, and its interactions with senior DoD leadership. Finally, these records provide insight into highly classified and

suppressed areas of scientific research and technology development, derived from the study and exploitation of UAP and NHI technologies.

Section 5: Restricted USG Historical Records

(G/00/162-78). This serial number corresponds to an official NSA report published in 1978. The existence and content of this report was verified by accessing the appropriate intelligence archives. The substance of the report concerns scientific research in the Soviet Union on the topics of parapsychology, 'psi,' and biological effects of UAP on human beings. Additionally, the existence of this reporting stream demonstrates that U.S. intelligence was explicitly tasked to collect on foreign entities active in areas often relegated to the fringes of scientific research, including parapsychology and the biological effects of encounters with UAP. The fact that

significant intelligence resources were dedicated to understanding and exploiting information related to UAPs and NHIs highlights a strategic commitment to understanding the full scope of UAP, NHI, and TUO. These and other classified accounts provide a rare public glimpse reveal the contours of a secretive, decades-long competition between major terrestrial powers to collect, exploit, and field novel technologies derived from the study of UAP and NHL.

Section 6: Signals Intelligence

A substantial body of sensitive signals intelligence collected from peer and near-peer countries demonstrate foreign awareness of UAP events occurring over their sensitive military and intelligence facilities – including nuclear weapons facilities. Due to the exceptionally sensitive nature of the sources and methods involved, only general conclusions are provided here:

- Foreign countries are known to have observed UAPs whose signatures and behaviors correlate to those observed by the United States.
- These UAP events are treated by the security apparatuses of each state as serious national security threats due to UAP in proximity to sensitive military and intelligence facilities.
- These facilities are most often associated with aerospace defense, strategic deterrence, and military-sponsored scientific research and development.
- On multiple occasions, each of these nations have attempted to intercept and shoot down UAPs violating their territorial airspace, and the airspace over sensitive facilities.
- Foreign countries have internal organizations dedicated to studying the ambiguous threat posed by UAPs, deducing scientific principles through observing UAP, and the careful management of public perceptions of the UAP issue.

Section 7: Sensitive Sources

From mouth to ear.

VI. Conclusion

The official disclosure of the existence of Non-Human Intelligences (NHIs) and their presence on Earth is a pivotal moment in human history. The nature of this information is of such incomparable relevance to the public good that it demands to be shared. Some may object and say that disclosure at this time poses too many risks. To them it must be said that we will never be able to predict how individuals, families, communities, and nations will react to revelations of such magnitude. Moving forward, we must guard against the lure of authoritarian solutions justified by expediency and appeals to national security. The Good in humanity will always triumph through time, and it is in moments of crisis that our capacities for achieving the extraordinary are discovered. Be not afraid.

Scientia Igne Probata; Veritas Per Fidem

Last Updated on January 17, 2025 by [Nick Ross](#)

- About

-

-

-

- **Archives**

-

-

-

- Most Valuable Episodes
 - Support
 - Green Room

CD307: Immaculate Constellation

Dec 21, 2024

Executive Producers (1): John Riccio

U.S. military whistleblowers have told Congress about secret programs related to non-human piloted aircraft and submersibles. In this episode, listen to testimony from additional whistleblowers who add more information to what we have already learned, listen to the response from the person at the Defense Department in charge of investigating these claims, and learn about a secret program called Immaculate Constellation, which allegedly has a hidden treasure trove of evidence that we are not alone.

Background Sources

Recommended Congressional Dish Episodes

[CD278: All Three UAP Hearings](#)

The Article That Sparked it All

[“Glowing Auras and ‘Black Money’: The Pentagon’s Mysterious U.F.O. Program.”](#) Helene Cooper et al. December 16, 2017. *The New York Times*.

IRAD

[“Independent Research and Development.”](#) *National Defense Industrial Association*.

Immaculate Constellation

[“Immaculate Constellation Report.”](#)

ARRO Report

[“Report on the Historical Record of U.S. Government Involvement with Unidentified Anomalous Phenomena \(UAP\), Volume I.”](#) February 2024. *U.S. Department of Defense, All-Domain Anomaly Resolution Office*.

Audio Sources

[Hearing to receive testimony on the activities of the All-domain Anomaly Resolution Office](#)

Senate Committee on Armed Services, Subcommittee on Emerging Threats and Capabilities

November 19, 2024

[Watch on YouTube](#)

Witness:

- **Dr. Jon T. Kosloski**, Director, All-domain Anomaly Resolution Office

Clips

25:50 **Dr. Jon Kosloski:** It is important to underscore that, to date, ARRO has not discovered any verifiable evidence of extraterrestrial beings, activity, or technology.

30:40 **Dr. Jon Kosloski:** Most of the reporting occurs between 15,000 and 25,000 feet. That happens to be where most of our sensors are, and the reporting above and below that is also often coming from those same platforms.

30:55 **Dr. Jon Kosloski:** The resolved cases have the vast majority being balloons and UAS. We're seeing a great increase in satellites recently, particularly with the launch of mega satellite constellations such as Starlink, where the satellites are catching what is called satellite flares or glint off the sun just after sunset or just before sunrise.

31:45 **Dr. Jon Kosloski:** Most of the reports historically had been near the government sites. This bias has been reduced recently by reporting from the FAA. We now get weekly reports from them. And great thank you to our aviators for making those reports. It helps our analysis quite a bit.

Unidentified Anomalous Phenomena: Exposing the Truth

November 13, 2024

House Committee on Oversight and Accountability, Subcommittees on Cybersecurity, Information Technology, and Government Innovation and National Security, the Border, and Foreign Affairs

[Watch on YouTube](#)

Witnesses:

- **Dr. Tim Gallaudet**, Rear Admiral, U.S. Navy (ret.); CEO, Ocean STL Consulting, LLC
- **Luis Elizondo**, Author, and Former Department of Defense Official
- **Michael Gold**, Former NASA Associate Administrator of Space Policy and Partnerships; Member of NASA UAP Independent Study Team
- **Michael Shellenberger**, Founder of [Public](#)

Clips

19:55 **Rep. Nancy Mace (R-SC):** I'm not going to name names, but there are certain individuals who didn't want this hearing to happen, because they feared what might be disclosed.

22:25 **Rep. Nancy Mace (R-SC):** A recent statutorily required report from AARO intended to illuminate the government's historic assessment of UAPs was heavily criticized by those seeking UAP transparency. The report has stoked suspicions AARO is unable, or perhaps unwilling, to bring forward the truth about the government's activities concerning UAPs. I'm disturbed that AARO itself lacks transparency, even its budget is kept from the public.

30:35 **Rep. Glenn Grothman (R-WI):** Since the last hearings, I've led several briefings with government agencies to deepen my understanding with these issues. First, the Department of Defense Inspector General's Office informed us that the Department of Defense does not have a streamlined process for service members to report UAP activity. Since then, the Joint Chiefs have implemented standards for UAP reporting across the services. The Intelligence Community Inspector General informed us that whistleblowers often fear retaliation for reporting mismanagement of highly sensitive government projects or information. Finally, AARO has expressed to the committee that like any other federal government agency, it's faced challenges in its establishment, specifically in hiring staff to manage UAP historical records and coordinating with other federal agencies. While these agencies have been helpful to us in understanding the challenges that come from collecting UAP data, none of them have been able to substantiate the claims made at this hearing last year by David Grusch, despite our committee members endlessly questioning these agencies inside and outside of a SCIF.

32:30 **Rep. Glenn Grothman (R-WI):** Deeply alarmed by the reporting of the massive drone swarm that flew over Langley Air Force Base in Virginia last December. Langley is the home of the 1st Fighter Wing, which maintains half of the F-22s in the US Air Force inventory. Reports of this incident indicate these drones were roughly 20 feet long, flying more than 100 miles an hour at an altitude of over 3000 feet. Yet the origin of these drones and their operators remains a mystery.

38:35 **Rep. Jared Moskowitz (D-FL):** The American people and members of Congress ask, are reports of UAPs credible? We're met with stonewalling. We're met with responses of, I can't tell you. And in fact, we're met with people not wanting us to have hearings. We're met with people not wanting us to ask you questions. In fact, many of us were told not to ask some of you certain questions on certain topics.

41:40 **Rep. Nancy Mace (R-SC):** Our first witness is retired Rear Admiral Tim Gallaudet, who retired from the US Navy and is now the Chief Executive Officer at Ocean STL Consulting.

41:50 **Rep. Nancy Mace (R-SC):** Our second witness is Mr. Luis Elizondo, a former Department of Defense official and author of a recent bestseller book about UAPs.

42:00 **Rep. Nancy Mace (R-SC):** Our third witness is Mr. Michael Shellenberger, founder of the newsletter *Public* and author of a recent journalistic piece about Special Access Programs, including one widely identified as Immaculate Constellation.

42:15 **Rep. Nancy Mace (R-SC):** And our last witness today is Mr. Michael Gold, a former NASA official who is also a member of the NASA UAP independent study team.

43:35 **Dr. Tim Gallaudet:** Confirmation that UAPs are real came to me in January of 2015 when I was serving as the Commander of the Navy Meteorology and Oceanography command. At the time, my personnel were participating in a pre-deployment naval exercise off the US East coast. It included the USS Theodore Roosevelt Carrier Strike Group, and this exercise was overseen by the United States Fleet Forces Command, led by a four-star Admiral, who, at the time was also my superior officer. During this exercise, I received an email on the Navy's secured network from the Operations Officer of US Fleet Forces Command. The email is addressed to all the subordinate commanders, and the subject line read in all capital letters, "URGENT SAFETY OF FLIGHT ISSUE." The text of the email was brief but alarming, with words to the effect, if any of you know what these are, tell me ASAP. We are having multiple near mid air collisions, and if we do not resolve this soon, we are going to have to shut down the exercise. Attached to the email is what is now known as the "GoFast" video, captured on the forward-looking infrared sensor of one of the Navy F/A-18 aircraft participating in the exercise. The now declassified video showed an unidentified object exhibiting flight and structural characteristics unlike anything in our arsenal. The implication of the email was clear. The author was asking whether any of the recipients were aware of classified technology demonstrations that could explain these objects, because the DoD policy is to rigorously de-conflict such demonstrations with live exercises. I was confident this was not the case. The very next day, that email disappeared from my account and those of the other recipients without explanation. Moreover, the commander of Fleet Forces Command and the Operations Officer never discussed the subject, even during weekly meetings specifically designed to address issues affecting exercises like the one in which the Theodore Roosevelt strike group was participating. This lack of follow up was very concerning to me. As the Navy's chief meteorologist at the time, I was responsible for reducing safety of flight risks. Yet it appeared to me that no one at the flag officer level was addressing the safety risk posed by UAPs. Instead, pilots were left to mitigate these threats on their own, without guidance or support. I concluded that the UAP information must have been classified within a special access program managed by an intelligence agency that is a compartmented program that even senior officials, including myself, were not read into. Last year's UAP hearing before this oversight committee confirmed that UAP related information is being withheld from senior officials and members of Congress, and just this week, I learned from former DoD official Chris Mellon, that satellite imagery of UAP from a few years ago still has not been shared with Congress.

47:55 **Dr. Tim Gallaudet:** As a former science agency leader, having led the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, I've always sought the truth in human knowledge and thought. Now that we know UAP are interacting with humanity, and

these include unidentified submerged objects in the ocean, we should not turn a blind eye, but instead boldly face this new reality and learn from it.

49:20 Luis Elizondo: Let me be clear: UAP are real, advanced technologies not made by our government or any other government, are monitoring sensitive military installations around the globe. Furthermore, the US is in possession of UAP technologies, as are some of our adversaries. I believe we are in the midst of a multi-decade secretive arms race, one funded by misallocated taxpayer dollars and hidden from our elected representatives and oversight bodies.

51:10 Luis Elizondo: Most Americans would be shocked to learn that the Pentagon's very own Public Affairs Office openly employs a professional Psychological Operations Officer as the singular point of contact for any UAP related inquiries from citizens and the media. This is unacceptable. Many of my former colleagues and I have provided classified testimony to both the Department of Defense and the Intelligence Community Inspector General, and many of us have subsequently been targeted by this cabal with threats to our careers, our security clearances, and even our lives.

51:50 Luis Elizondo: First, Congress and the President should create a single point of contact responsible for a whole-of-government approach to the UAP issue. Currently, the White House, CIA, NASA, the Pentagon, Department of Energy, and others play a role, but no one seems to be in charge, leading to unchecked power and corruption. Second, we need a national UAP strategy that will promote transparency and help restore the American public's trust at a time when the public's trust is at an all time low. This strategy should include a whole-of-government approach, including the academic and scientific communities, the private sector, and our international partners and allies. Third, Congress should create a protected environment so whistleblowers, desperate to do the right thing, can come forward without fear. As it currently stands, these whistle blowers suffer because of stigma, a code of silence, and concerns about retaliation.

55:25 Michael Shellenberger: We now have existing and former US government officials who have told Congress that AARO and the Pentagon have broken the law by not revealing a significant body of information about UAPs, including military intelligence databases that have evidence of their existence as physical craft. One of those individuals is a current or former US government official acting as a UAP whistleblower. This person has written a report. This is the report that says the executive branch has been managing UAP NHI issues without congressional knowledge, oversight or authorization for some time, quite possibly decades. Furthermore, these individuals have revealed the name of an active and highly secretive DoD Unacknowledged Special Access Program, or USAP. The source of that document told Public (me) that the USAP is a Strategic Intelligence Program that is part of the US military family of long standing highly sensitive programs dealing with various aspects of the UAP problem. The new UAP whistleblower claims that the US military and IC database includes videos and images taken using forward-looking infrared, full

motion video and still photography. The report that was just shared with Congress says Immaculate Constellation serves as a central or parent USAP that consolidates observations of UAPs by both tasked and untasked collection platforms. Immaculate Constellation includes high quality imagery intelligence and measurement and signature intelligence of UAPs, the whistleblower's report adds. The sources of this intelligence are a blend of directed and incidental collection capacities, capabilities, positioned in low Earth orbit, the upper atmosphere, as well as military and civilian aviation altitudes and marine time environments. The report to Congress details in detail various UAPs, including spheres, orbs, discs, saucers, ovals, triangles, boomerang, arrowhead and irregular organic. The report describes various incidents found in the human intelligence databases. One involved orbs surrounding and forcing an F-22 out of its patrol area. In another incident, the crew of a Navy aircraft carrier watched a small orange, red sphere rapidly descend from a high altitude of 100 to 200 yards directly above the flight deck of the CVN, or aircraft carrier. And since my reporting on this Immaculate Constellation last month, another source came forward who told me that they saw a roughly 13 minute long high definition, full color video of a white orb UAP coming out of the ocean, approximately 20 miles off the coast of Kuwait. It was filmed from a helicopter. Then halfway through the video, the person said, the orb is joined by another orb that briefly comes into the frame from the left before rapidly moving again out of the frame. The person discovered the video on SIPR, the Secure Internet Protocol Router network which the DoD uses to transmit classified information. A leading UAP researcher who utilizes the Freedom of Information Act to find out what the government knows, John Greenewald, told me last year that the US government had been increasingly denying his requests for UAP information. He has been doing FOIA requests for 27 years and has an archive of 3 million pages. The government has for decades denied any interest in UFOs, he told me, but the documents that he has assembled show that behind the scenes, it was a completely different story. Contrary to the hopes of many advocates of transparency, the government has been restricting more information since the leak of three UAP videos in 2017. The DoD organization AARO has been labeling many documents with a B7 exemption, which Greenewald says does not make any sense. They're stating that anything AARO does is involved in law enforcement investigation, which allows AARO to not release it. Greenewald says the DOD has denied the existence of UAP and AATIP related records on multiple occasions, only to acknowledge them after an appeal was filed. He added that the Naval Air Systems Command in March 2022 stated they found no additional UAP videos. It seemed strange that they had three, and only those three, but other requests had been filed by the Black Vault, that's John Greenewald's group, to seek out more places UAPs might be hiding. Then in September 2022, the Navy admitted that the UAP related videos and photographs existed, but denied the request in full for their release, saying that the requested videos contain sensitive information that are classified and exempt from disclosure. The DoD will deny things on a Monday

and then admit to it on a Friday, said Greenwald. He said the government can and does release videos that protect secret methods of capturing it. They fall back on the sensitive platform excuse a lot, he said. However, the on-screen information can be blurred and scrubbed, the metadata can be removed.

1:03:20 **Michael Gold:** During my work on the UAP independent study team, it quickly became evident that there is no clear or well publicized process for civilian pilots to report UAP sightings. The stigma associated with UAP hampers the number of pilots that would report such phenomena. But even for those who overcome the stigma, I believe the current FAA guidance is largely unknown and poorly understood.

1:03:45 **Michael Gold:** In order to effectively collect UAP data, the independent study team recommended the use of NASA's Aviation Safety Reporting System, or ASRS. This system, which is administered by NASA and funded by the FAA, provides a confidential means for the reporting of safety violations in a voluntary and non punitive manner. Over 47 years the ASRS has collected nearly 2 million reports. ASRS is the perfect tool to collect UAP data, which could then be collated by NASA and shared with the public at large.

1:07:25 **Rep. Nancy Mace (R-SC):** Are you read into secret UAP crash retrieval programs? **Luis Elizondo:** We would have to have a conversation in a closed session, ma'am. I signed documentation three years ago that restricts my ability to discuss specifically crash retrievals. I submitted from my book through the Doppler (???) process, which took a year to be reviewed. And what is in the book is what I was told I'm allowed to talk about. **Rep. Nancy Mace (R-SC):** Has the government conducted secret UAP crash retrieval programs? Yes or no? **Luis Elizondo:** Yes. **Rep. Nancy Mace (R-SC):** Okay, were they designed to identify and reverse engineer alien craft? Yes or no? **Luis Elizondo:** Yes.

1:08:10 **Rep. Nancy Mace (R-SC):** In your book, you mentioned government employees who've been injured by UAPs placed on leave and receiving government compensation for their injuries. Is that correct **Luis Elizondo:** That is correct. **Rep. Nancy Mace (R-SC):** How can the government deny we have recovered craft if they're paying people because they've been injured by recovered craft? **Luis Elizondo:** Ma'am, that's a great question. That's why I think we're here again, because I've seen the documentation by the US government for several of these individuals who have sustained injuries as a result of a UAP incident.

1:08:45 **Rep. Nancy Mace (R-SC):** Immaculate Constellation. What's its mission and how are they funded? **Michael Shellenberger:** It's an Unacknowledged Special Access Program. Its mission is to document UAPs. **Rep. Nancy Mace (R-SC):** Okay, and do you for your story in your report, do you have more than one credible source. **Michael Shellenberger:** I do. **Rep. Nancy Mace (R-SC):** Okay. And then why do you believe your sources to be credible? How do you judge the veracity of the documentation you've been provided about this program? **Michael Shellenberger:** I checked the sources and they are who they say they are. They are current or former government officials. I

should also, I wanted to also add that I did not specify that they were Defense Department employees. I didn't specify the agency, nor the gender. **Rep. Nancy Mace (R-SC):** Would they have included non-government employees, people that aren't employed by the government? **Michael Shellenberger:** These are, I'm comfortable saying that these are government or previously government employees. **Rep. Nancy Mace (R-SC):** Any of them currently employed by a private contractor or private contractors? **Michael Shellenberger:** I'd rather not say.

1:09:45 **Rep. Nancy Mace (R-SC):** What's the key takeaway, in just a few seconds, about the Immaculate Constellation document you provided us today? **Michael**

Shellenberger: I think that what the American people need to know is that the US military and intelligence community are sitting on a huge amount of visual and other information, still photos, video photos, other sensor information, and they have for a very long time. And it's not those fuzzy photos and videos that we've been given.

There's very clear — **Rep. Nancy Mace (R-SC):** High res? **Michael Shellenberger:** High

resolution. **Rep. Nancy Mace (R-SC):** How many? How many visuals, graphics, videos,

photos. **Michael Shellenberger:** I mean, I've been told hundreds, you know, maybe thousands. I mean, I also wanted to say because there was some conversation around concern around the revealing of these materials, revealing the source collections, but some of these are shot from helicopters using normal videos of oceans. I just think that's absurd, that somehow you're going to be revealing some secret US technology by revealing that you've photographed orbs off the coast of Kuwait.

1:13:10 **Rep. Jared Moskowitz (D-FL):** You signed a document. Love that. Who gave

that to you? **Luis Elizondo:** The US government, sir. **Rep. Jared Moskowitz (D-**

FL): Okay, do you have a copy of it? **Luis Elizondo:** It is stored in a SCIF right now. I do

not have possession of it. The US government does. **Rep. Jared Moskowitz (D-**

FL): What department of US government gave you this document? **Luis Elizondo:** I will

say the Department of Defense. Unfortunately, I can't say in this forum much more

than that. **Rep. Jared Moskowitz (D-FL):** You specifically said the document said you

can't talk about crash retrieval. Well, you know you can't talk about fight club if there's

no Fight Club. **Luis Elizondo:** Correct.

1:14:10 **Rep. Jared Moskowitz (D-FL):** Give me your background real quick. **Luis**

Elizondo: My background is, I went to school to study Microbiology and Immunology, entered into the US Army, and after a very short stint in military intelligence, I became a Counter intelligence Special Agent as a civilian. Later on, I became a Special Agent in Charge running investigations in counter terrorism and counter espionage, primarily, with some experience in counter insurgency and counter narcotics. And then in the 2009 time frame, when I came back to the Pentagon after a tour with the Director of National Intelligence, I quickly became part of a program that was originally called AAWSAP, that evolved into the program now called AATIP, which is where those videos that we now see, the GoFast, the gimbal, the FLIR, that was part of our effort, sir.

1:16:10 **Rep. Jared Moskowitz (D-FL):** Can you tell us about the Omaha incident in greater detail? I've read your background, right? Some people would label you as a member of the deep state, since you worked in government for a long period of time. But can you tell us more about that incident? You've written a lot about that. **Dr. Tim Gallaudet:** I wrote a lot about incidents like it, Congressman, but that specific incident involved the USS Omaha, the tour combat ship of the US Navy operating off Southern California. I don't remember the exact date. It was, within the last decade, and what the watch standards on the bridge observed was a UAP, again, something that was aloft but had no observable exhaust or control surfaces. So it was something that couldn't be explained, and then they saw it enter the water from the atmosphere and going through the ERC interface, and so thus exhibiting trans medium travel.

1:17:10 **Rep. Glenn Grothman (R-WI):** During a previous UAP hearing, Navy Commander David Fravor discussed the "Tic Tac" object engaged in 2004. Are you familiar with the incident, the Tic Tac incident? **Dr. Tim Gallaudet:** Yes, sir. **Rep. Glenn Grothman (R-WI):** That was almost 20 years ago, right? **Dr. Tim Gallaudet:** Yes, sir. **Rep. Glenn Grothman (R-WI):** It's been said there more videos, documents and reports related to this incident. Do you believe the information regarding the Tic Tac incident should be available to all members of Congress? In your expertise, what reason would the Department of Defense possibly have for not releasing information that's over 20 years old? **Dr. Tim Gallaudet:** Thank you, Congressman. I don't think there's any good reason to withhold information and important data, especially of national security concern, from Congress. **Rep. Glenn Grothman (R-WI):** What would they say? **Dr. Tim Gallaudet:** I will speculate, sir, that they don't want to share that kind of information because it reveals weaknesses in our ability to monitor and protect our airspace.

1:19:50 **Rep. Glenn Grothman (R-WI):** How frequently are UAP sightings over military installations? And secondly, I suppose hypothetically you could have incursions over regular airports. Is it obvious these incursions are more likely over military facilities than just a random airport out there? **Luis Elizondo:** Yes, sir. There's definitely enough data to suggest that there is certainly some sort of relationship between sensitive US military installations, also some of our nuclear equities, and also some of our Department of Energy sites. There is a long historical record that some of your colleagues may have documentation that demonstrates this. This is not a new trend. This has been going on for decades. And it's that information has been obfuscated, unfortunately, from folks like you in this committee. And I think that's problematic, because ultimately, at the end of the day, we have a significant situation here. We have something that can enter into US airspace completely, with no attribution. **Rep. Glenn Grothman (R-WI):** How long has this been going on, sir? **Luis Elizondo:** For decades. And there's information that will hopefully be entered into the record — **Rep. Glenn Grothman (R-WI):** Can you think of any possible reason why they can't release any information they have on something, say, 15 or 20 years old? **Luis**

Elizondo: Sir, if I could echo my colleague here, Admiral Gallaudet, I think one of the big issues that we have in the intelligence community, Department of Defense, is we don't want to broadcast any potential vulnerabilities or weaknesses in our national defense systems or our intelligence collection platforms. Therefore, when you have a conversation where you address a problem for which there is no solution, it makes that a very uncomfortable conversation to have.

1:23:10 **Rep. Tim Burchett (R-TN):** Mr. Elizondo, what is your last position with the federal government? **Luis Elizondo:** Sir, I was the Director of National Program Special Management staff, managing a White House Special Access Program on behalf of the National Security Council.

1:23:25 **Rep. Tim Burchett (R-TN):** How would you characterize UAPs? **Luis Elizondo:** An enigma, sir, and a frustration. We're talking about technologies that can outperform anything we have in our inventory. And if this was an adversarial technology, this would be an intelligence failure eclipsing that of 9/11 by an order of magnitude.

1:24:10 **Rep. Tim Burchett (R-TN):** Are you familiar with my friend David Grusch? **Luis Elizondo:** Absolutely, sir. I had the privilege and honor of working with him myself several years ago at US Space Force. **Rep. Tim Burchett (R-TN):** Last year, as you know, he testified that the US has run a multi-decade UAP crash retrieval and reverse engineering program. Would you agree with that? **Luis Elizondo:** Yes, sir. **Rep. Tim Burchett (R-TN):** Are there UAP programs operating without proper congressional oversight? **Luis Elizondo:** 100%. **Rep. Tim Burchett (R-TN):** What are they? **Luis Elizondo:** Unfortunately, sir, I would have to have that conversation in a closed session.

1:24:50 **Rep. Tim Burchett (R-TN):** You also mentioned in your opening statement that the Pentagon's Public Affairs Office employs the Psychological Operations Officer as the singular point of conduct for UAP related inquiries. Why the heck would they do that? **Luis Elizondo:** Sir, that's a great question. I would ask the Pentagon. There's a long history here of that individual providing misleading and false information to the public through various news outlets and media outlets in order to discredit this topic. I have personally been victim to it. We have the documentation to substantiate where this information has been absolutely inaccurate. That has been provided time and time again. And it turns out that that individual was also working with former leadership of AARO at the time as well.

1:26:35 **Rep. Tim Burchett (R-TN):** Have you specifically had any experience with submersible objects? **Dr. Tim Gallaudet:** So I've got no personal experience, but I've had witnesses on submarines come to me and say they've seen on sonar data. **Rep. Tim Burchett (R-TN):** Yeah. How would you characterize those and how do they move? **Dr. Tim Gallaudet:** The one instance that was revealed to me was in the 80s on a nuclear powered submarine and ballistic missile submarine, that the object exhibited the characteristics of a Russian torpedo in terms of its speed of movement and closing rate with a submarine, and then it slowed, and then followed the submarine slowly in its wake for a period of minutes, and then rapidly exited the scene. And nothing that

we know of, and technology wise, could replicate that. **Rep. Tim Burchett (R-TN):** And the speed of these objects was faster than anything that we have, or anybody else has that would be manned, is that correct? **Dr. Tim Gallaudet:** It was on the order of torpedoes, but as it exited — **Rep. Tim Burchett (R-TN):** I don't I don't exactly know how fast a torpedo is, but I suspect it does better than my old Outboard Scott-Awater, so I'll take that as a yes.

1:29:45 Michael Shellenberger: The author's sources are described in the report, these databases, the Immaculate Constellation. **Rep. Clay Higgins (R-LA):** But you expressed some confidence in the sources earlier in testimony, you expressed confidence. So do you know those sources? **Michael Shellenberger:** I do. **Rep. Clay Higgins (R-LA):** Are they within the Department of Defense? **Michael Shellenberger:** I can't say. **Rep. Clay Higgins (R-LA):** You can't say, or you won't say? **Michael Shellenberger:** I won't say. **Rep. Clay Higgins (R-LA):** Okay, why not? **Michael Shellenberger:** Because I protect my sources, and I think the — **Rep. Clay Higgins (R-LA):** But you're not naming them. It's a big department. Many of us on my side of the aisle would say it's far too big. So you're talking about the Department of Defense, sources from within the Department of Defense? **Michael Shellenberger:** I'm not willing to reduce the potential universe of where my sources might be.

1:33:35 Michael Shellenberger: My understanding is that the database is very large. It includes both the images, the videos, the still images, as well as the human intelligence, the reports, the raw data from individuals having these experiences. So in answer to your question, I think we're looking at a very large amount of data collected over many decades.

1:33:55 Rep. Clay Higgins (R-LA): And that data is held by the Department of Defense? **Michael Shellenberger:** Well, I will say that after I published, I was told that this program, the USAP, was actually managed by the Department of Defense, but held at the White House. **Rep. Clay Higgins (R-LA):** Roger that. **Michael Shellenberger:** But that's a single source, and I don't have multiple sources to verify that.

1:35:40 Michael Gold: Chairman Grothman's comment about UAP focusing on national security sites, I believe there's something, sir, that you may have heard of, called sensor bias. Because we've got more cameras, more monitoring of national security, we don't know how extensive UAP activity may be over civilian areas. And this is to the second part of your question, where we're not collecting the data. We're not collecting sufficient data from pilots. We're not collecting sufficient data from civilian and commercial activities. And this is again, where ASRS, I think, could substantially change that, get the data out there and allow us to do good science.

1:40:15 Rep. Anna Paulina Luna (R-FL): To your knowledge, have any USOs ever outpaced our submarines? **Dr. Tim Gallaudet:** Yes, ma'am. **Rep. Anna Paulina Luna (R-FL):** At what magnitude? **Dr. Tim Gallaudet:** I don't have the exact speed, but again, a witness came to me, a credible former submarine officer, who observed it on sonar

data, and this was in the 80s in the North Atlantic during a storm, and it outpaced his submarine by orders of magnitude.

1:41:25 **Luis Elizondo:** Ma'am, I think it is safe to presume here that they are being intelligently controlled, because they, in some cases, seem to anticipate our maneuvers, and in other cases, they seem to, and I came across an email where the word stalked was used in a very secure email between Navy officers discussing their ships being pursued by a UAP.

1:42:05 **Luis Elizondo:** I look at everything from a scientific perspective. So if you look at, for example, instantaneous acceleration, which was one of the observables of the program that I belong to, AATIP, the human body can withstand about 9 g-forces for a short period of time before you suffer negative biological consequences, blackouts and ultimately, red outs, and even death. In comparison, our best technology, the F-16, which is one of its older platform, but one of our most highly maneuverable manned aircraft, made by General Dynamics, can perform about 17 or 18 g-forces before you start having structural failure, meaning that the airframe begins to disintegrate while you're flying. The vehicles we're talking about are performing in excess of 1000, 2000, 3000 Gs.

1:45:10 **Rep. Robert Garcia (D-CA):** Do you believe, just for the record, that the federal government, any part of the federal government, is knowingly concealing evidence about UAPs from the public?**Dr. Tim Gallaudet:** Yes, sir. **Luis Elizondo:** 100% **Michael Shellenberger:** Yes. **Michael Gold:** Yes.

1:45:35 **Rep. Robert Garcia (D-CA):** What do you believe UAPs could be, or are? **Dr. Tim Gallaudet:** Strong evidence that they are non human, higher intelligence. **Luis Elizondo:** I echo my colleague's comments, sir. **Michael Shellenberger:** Genuinely do not know. **Michael Gold:** Don't know, but we must find out.

1:48:25 **Michael Gold:** Yeah, the Aviation Safety Reporting System is an existing system that is trusted, that has taken hundreds of thousands, now millions of cases. And again, recognizing budgetary constraints, this seemed like the perfect way to be able to gain more data.

1:53:45 **Luis Elizondo:** Department of Defense and the intelligence community is solution oriented, and when you don't have answers, it's a really tough spot to be in. **Rep. Andy Biggs (R-AZ):** It's easier to be quiet and suppress what you don't have. **Luis Elizondo:** Indeed, sir. In fact, there's a very real example when we built a U-2 spy plane and flew it over then Russia, and we're taking reconnaissance. And when we first started flying the aircraft, it flew so high and so fast, we thought they weren't tracking us. In reality, they were tracking every flight. It wasn't until the Russians could develop the SA-2 surface-to-air missile, and successfully.

2:00:15 **Rep. Eric Burlison (R-MO):** It was previously testified that there was biologics that were collected. Are you aware of any of that? **Luis Elizondo:** I am, sir, aware of the reporting that biologics have been recovered. Again, my focus was more nuts and bolts, looking at the physical aspects of these phenomenon, how they interacted

around military equities and nuclear equities. So I'm certainly not a medical expert, I would not be able to probably provide you a whole lot of value in that, simply because I don't have the expertise. **Rep. Eric Burlison (R-MO):** Was anything described as that we have possession of bodies? **Luis Elizondo:** Yes, yes. **Rep. Eric Burlison (R-MO):** Is it multiple types of creatures or...? **Luis Elizondo:** Sir, I couldn't answer that. I can tell you anecdotally that it was, it was discussed quite a bit when I was at the Pentagon. The problem is the supposed collection of these biological samples occurred before my time. In fact, before I was even born. **Rep. Eric Burlison (R-MO):** And was this part of the Lockheed Martin discussion? Or was the biologics is a completely separate...? **Luis Elizondo:** Separate, yet related. **Rep. Eric Burlison (R-MO):** Okay.

2:01:10 **Rep. Eric Burlison (R-MO):** Has anyone made contact? **Luis Elizondo:** Sir, I'm sorry, could you specify? **Rep. Eric Burlison (R-MO):** Has there been, to your knowledge, any communication with a non-human life form? **Luis Elizondo:** So the term communication is a bit of a trick word, because there's verbal communication like we're having now. The problem is you also have non-verbal communication. And so I would say definitively yes, but from a non-verbal meaning. When a Russian reconnaissance aircraft comes into US airspace, we scramble two F-22s, and we are certainly communicating intent and capability. I think the same goes with this. We have these things that are being observed over controlled US airspace, and they're not really doing a good job hiding themselves. They're making it pretty obvious they have the ability to interfere with our nuclear equities and our nuclear readiness.

2:03:05 **Luis Elizondo:** Certainly there seems to be some some indication that they're being provocative, meaning that they're, in some cases, literally splitting aircraft formations right down the middle. So that's an air safety issue. The question is, is the frequency increasing? And really, the response is, it depends. Yes, it's possible that there is an increase in frequency, but it's also possible that there's heightened awareness now, and there's also more pervasiveness of technology out there that's collecting this information, that can record this information. So we're not quite sure yet if it's actually an increase in numbers of these events, or is it that we have better equipment now to record these things, and we have a better ability, if you will, to analyze these things.

2:03:55 **Rep. William R. Timmons (R-SC):** Do you think that these UAPs are intentionally targeting military installations, or do you think that we have increased abilities to monitor surrounding the military installations? **Luis Elizondo:** Sir, maybe both. Part of my concern is we have something in the Department of Defense and the intelligence community called IPB, Initial Preparations of the Battle Space. And we use equities like ISR (intelligence, surveillance, reconnaissance), or other type of equities and technologies to prep the battle space. And certainly, you know, if I was wearing my National Security hat, even if there was a 2% chance that there was some sort of hostile intent here, that's 2% higher than we really can accept. And so we must figure out, there's a calculus, capabilities versus intent, in order to identify if something is a

national security threat. We've seen some of the capabilities, yet we have no idea in the intent. And so this is why this discussion is somewhat, I think, problematic from a governmental perspective, because we have no idea.

2:05:40 Dr. Tim Gallaudet: A colleague of mine, who I referenced previously, Chris Mellon, he wrote an extensive article about this that there were other incursions of drones over Langley before this, as well as many, many military installations over the last five decades.

2:07:00 Dr. Tim Gallaudet: From 2020 to 2022, there was a UAP task force in the DoD, succeeding where Mr. Elizondo worked, led by Jay Stratton, who had the first comprehensive whole government approach to UAP, which involved pathways to declassification and to increase transparency, as well as assessing the national security risks of UAP. This was a really well established approach, and we have all advocated that something like it return.

2:09:45 Rep. Lauren Boebert (R-CO): Okay, so there are rumors that have come up to the Hill of a secretive project within the Department of Defense involving the manipulation of human genetics with what is described as non human genetic material, potentially for the enhancement of human capabilities. Hybrids. Are any of you familiar with that? Yes or No? **Dr. Tim Gallaudet:** No, ma'am. **Luis Elizondo:** I am not, ma'am. **Michael Shellenberger:** I'm not. **Michael Gold:** No, ma'am.

2:10:10 Rep. Lauren Boebert (R-CO): Okay, I would like to know with Immaculate Constellation, how does this relate to UAP activities? Mr. Shellenberger, in oceanic environments, are there any instances where the navy or other maritime forces have encountered UAPs that could not be explained by known technology or natural phenomena? **Michael Shellenberger:** Yeah, the Immaculate Constellation covers both terrestrial and oceanic, and there's actually a number of cases described in the report that occur in the ocean. **Rep. Lauren Boebert (R-CO):** And do you believe that there is a concerted effort by the Pentagon to keep Congress out of the loop regarding these UAP activities, specifically in our waters? **Michael Shellenberger:** Yes.

2:13:10 Rep. Nancy Mace (R-SC): Mr. Elizondo, were you read into the Immaculate Constellation program? **Luis Elizondo:** I would not be authorized to confirm nor deny the existence of any ongoing or past program, especially as it relates to a special access program, either by name or trigraph.

2:13:30 Rep. Nancy Mace (R-SC): Does the US government or private contractors, do they work with other foreign countries — China, for example — to exchange data, "from a source" that intelligence data about UAP? **Luis Elizondo:** Let me if I can answer that a little bit more generally, ma'am, if I may. We do have foreign material exploitation programs that is something that is widely known, and that term itself is unclassified. How exactly that works becomes a bit sensitive, a discussion we could certainly have in a closed session, if you like. We do work with international partners and allies, quite often, not just in military exercises and workups, but in other intelligence efforts as well.

2:14:10 **Rep. Nancy Mace (R-SC):** In terms of material that's given to private contractors, is certain material given to certain contractors because of their experience? So for example, if it's related to submerged and undersea propulsion, would it go to a general contractor, like General Dynamics? **Luis Elizondo:** Yes, ma'am, absolutely correct. Different contractors have different levels of expertise. **Rep. Nancy Mace (R-SC):** What's Lockheed's expertise? **Luis Elizondo:** Aerospace, ma'am. **Rep. Nancy Mace (R-SC):** In the UAP space that's all? They wouldn't do submerged? **Luis Elizondo:** No, I didn't say that, ma'am. **Rep. Nancy Mace (R-SC):** Okay. **Luis Elizondo:** Lockheed Martin and others do quite a bit of work, both in our atmosphere, in space and even underwater. There are certain efforts to — it's a tough question you're asking, putting me on the spot here. **Rep. Nancy Mace (R-SC):** I'm looking for the answer. **Luis Elizondo:** Yes, ma'am. No, they are involved in a lot. I would rather let Lockheed Martin explain the different domains that they are involved with. I'm probably not authorized to discuss that, but they are involved in a lot of different areas and domains.

2:16:25 **Rep. Nancy Mace (R-SC):** How would you define non-human biologics, non-human intelligence? What are we actually talking about? Admiral, and we'll go down the line. **Dr. Tim Gallaudet:** I don't think it's a stretch, when you look at the diversity of life on this planet and the size of this universe, to think that there will be more diverse, higher order non-human intelligence throughout the universe, and that's probably what's visiting us. **Luis Elizondo:** I would take the scientific approach. The definition would be the ability to react to a stimulus in a manner that requires an intellectual thought process. **Michael Shellenberger:** I just don't know. **Michael Gold:** I think we must be modest in our assumptions that we're looking for intelligence. That could be biological, it might not. **Rep. Nancy Mace (R-SC):** Non-biological. But what non-biological intelligence? What does that mean, though? **Michael Gold:** Artificial intelligence, ML, machines. We assume that all intelligence would be like us, and every time we look out in the universe, we are humbled relative to what we don't know in terms of the forms of intelligence and what it may take, I can assure you, I probably can't answer your question, but I think the ultimate answer is going to surprise us all.

2:20:15 **Rep. Andy Ogles (R-TN):** Mr. Elizondo, based on your knowledge of UAP sightings, do you believe it's fair to say that they are especially common near nuclear sites? **Luis Elizondo:** Yes, sir, Mr. Congressman, I absolutely am convinced of that, as are my colleagues inside the government.

2:21:05 **Rep. Andy Ogles (R-TN):** Do you believe they've caused an irregular activity? And why might they be interested in those sites? **Luis Elizondo:** Sir, in some cases there's actually regular activity, you'd be surprised. There's actually documentation right now that's been submitted. It's not just Oak Ridge. It's Savannah River Site (SRS). It's also Los Alamos. A lot of our sensitive R&D locations appear to be under some sort of surveillance and monitoring. Why? Well, because a lot of innovation comes out of those areas, a lot of new technologies, a lot of, if you will, disruptive technologies that

we use for our national defense originate out of those locations, and advanced concepts in physics as well. So if I was doing any type of reconnaissance, even on a foreign adversary, that's a great target to start with.

Immaculate Constellation

Program insiders are suspected of researching IMMACULATE CONSTELLATION prior to the 2017 [AATIP/AAWSAP](#) revelations.

Immaculate Constellation (also written as **IMMACULATE CONSTELLATION**) is a reported [unacknowledged Special Access Program](#) (USAP) within the [United States government](#), allegedly focused on collecting high-quality data on [unidentified aerial phenomena](#) (UAP). Investigative journalist [Michael Shellenberger](#) has described the program as leveraging advanced sensor platforms to collect visual, electromagnetic, thermal, and other observational data, ensuring a secure chain of custody through government equities.^[1]

Structure and purpose

Immaculate Constellation is said to function by integrating highly sensitive data from a variety of collection systems, including [satellite imagery](#), [signals intelligence](#) (SIGINT), and other classified sources. By utilizing advanced [sensor platforms](#), the program purportedly ensures the highest possible quality of UAP-related data, including video, radar, and thermal imaging.^[2]

The program reportedly emphasizes strict [chain of custody](#) protocols, ensuring the authenticity and security of collected data. This approach is intended to address long-standing challenges in UAP research, such as maintaining the integrity of sensor data and minimizing potential leaks.

Man-in-the-middle

Immaculate Constellation has been compared to a [man-in-the-middle attack](#) in cybersecurity. By positioning itself within classified communication pathways, the program reportedly intercepts intelligence data before it can reach the broader [United States intelligence community](#). This position enables the program to collect, analyze, and potentially suppress sensitive information, ensuring that only selected data is shared beyond its confines.^[3]

Security and secrecy

Immaculate Constellation operates under the highest levels of classification, with collected materials designated as [TOP SECRET SCI-SAP](#). Personnel with access to the program must meet rigorous clearance requirements, including security vetting, a demonstrated [need-to-know](#), and compliance with additional measures specified by the program's security manager.

The extreme secrecy surrounding the program is evident in reports that even mentioning its name outside a [Sensitive Compartmented Information Facility](#) (SCIF) could result in significant repercussions, such as [FISA Section 702](#) surveillance

warrants.^[4] These measures contribute to speculation that the program may serve as a mechanism for [suppressing information](#) related to [non-human intelligent beings](#) and to fear that inadvertent and deliberate interloper will become subject to intimidation and harassment by agents or even [Men in Black](#).

The program's secrecy also extends to its position within classified communication pathways. By intercepting intelligence data before it reaches the broader [United States intelligence community](#), Immaculate Constellation ensures its operations remain insulated from external scrutiny, even within the military and intelligence apparatus.

Claims of suppression and censorship related to "Immaculate Constellation" have been raised, particularly concerning alterations to publicly available search data.

Observations from Google Trends reportedly showed historical search spikes for the term, dating as far back as February 2004, that later disappeared or became inaccessible. Screenshots shared online indicated changes in spike sizes and missing location data, fueling speculation of deliberate removal or manipulation of records.

These alleged efforts at data suppression further reinforce concerns about the program's role in controlling access to sensitive information.^[5]

Disclosure

The existence of Immaculate Constellation came to public attention in 2024 following revelations about the [Advanced Aerospace Threat Identification Program](#) (AATIP) and the [Advanced Aerospace Weapon System Applications Program](#) (AAWSAP). Although details remain unclear, journalists like [Michael Shellenberger](#) have reported on whistleblower testimony and leaked documents that suggest the program has been active for years.^[1]

Speculation about the origins of "Immaculate Constellation" predates its public revelation, with reports suggesting that the term was used in classified contexts as early as 2004. Analysis of Google Trends data revealed that searches for the term in all capital letters often originated near military installations and intelligence agency facilities.^[5]

A full anonymous report from the [Shellenberger](#) alleged whistleblower was released by [U.S. Representative Nancy Mace](#) in November 2024.^[6]

The secrecy surrounding Immaculate Constellation reflects broader debates about [government secrecy](#) in UAP research and the challenges of balancing [national security](#) with public interest in [unidentified aerial phenomena](#) (UAP) and potential contact with [non-human intelligence](#) (NHI). Critics argue that such programs may hinder transparency, while supporters emphasize the need for confidentiality to safeguard sensitive data.